

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/586,391	LASSALLE, THOMAS
	Examiner	Art Unit
	LEE D. WILSON	3727

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) LEE D. WILSON. (3) _____.
 (2) Franz Bonsang. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 09 September 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: All claims of the official record.

Identification of prior art discussed: All art of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Authorization was granted to make an examiners amendment placing the application in condition for allowance. Patentable subject matter was placed in the rest of the claims. The applicant does not need to provide a summary of the interview because the record is complete.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/LEE D WILSON/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3727	
---	--